IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WILLIE BEARD, III 1516 Rudolph Drive Bethlehem, PA 18018

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

DOCKET NO.:

v.

REEB MILLWORK CORP., d/b/a REEB MILLWORK
1000 Maloney Circle
Bethlehem, PA 18018

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

William Beard, III (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise), by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Plaintiff against Reeb Millwork Corp., d/b/a Reeb Millwork (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended ("ADA" - 42 USC §§ 12101 et. seq.), the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA - 29 U.S.C. §2601 et. seq.), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

¹ Plaintiff will move to amend the instant Complaint to include violations of the PHRA after full administrative exhaustion before the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Such claims will identically mirror Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein under the ADA.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of civil rights under the FMLA and the ADA.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction in order to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 5. Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and also dual-filed said charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff has properly exhausted his administrative proceedings (with respect to his ADA claims) before initiating this action by timely filing and dual-filing his Charge with the EEOC and PHRC, and by filing the instant lawsuit within 90 days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.

PARTIES

- 6. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 7. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.

- 8. Defendant Reeb Millwork Corp. is a company headquartered in Bethlehem, PA, which engages in the distribution of millwork products including door and window products.
- 9. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 10. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant for approximately three (3) years, from in or about August 2014 through on or about July 10, 2017, as a Picker.
- 12. Throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, he was a dedicated and hardworking employee, who performed his job well.
- 13. Plaintiff suffers from disabilities, including but not limited to heart conditions, which include issues stemming from a heart aneurysm.
- 14. Plaintiff's aforesaid health conditions, at times, affect his ability to perform some daily life activities, including but not limited to working and performing manual tasks.
- 15. Despite Plaintiff's aforesaid health conditions and limitations, he was still able to perform the duties of his job well with Defendant; however, he did require reasonable accommodations, including the use of intermittent time off from work for his conditions and to receive treatment by his physicians.
- 16. Plaintiff informed Defendant's management and Human Resources of his disabilities and need for leave, applying for leave under the FMLA in or about January 2017.

3

- 17. Moreover, Plaintiff informed Defendant that he would be required to submit to his physician for testing pertaining to his disabilities, which was scheduled to occur in or about late early July 2017.
- 18. From in or about January 2017 through his separation in or about July 2017, Plaintiff was approved for and utilized intermittent FMLA leave for his health conditions (also a reasonable accommodation under the ADA).
- 19. In response to Plaintiff's use of FMLA leave, however, Defendant's management would exhibit great animosity and hostility pertaining to Plaintiff's leave, including but not limited to making derogatory comments pertaining to his use of leave and by assessing points against Plaintiff under Defendant's attendance policy for absences that were directly related to his health conditions.
- 20. By way of example, Defendant's management, including but not limited to Tim Moore (Plaintiff's Supervisor), would consistently make comments to Plaintiff that work was not being completed because of his aforementioned use of medical leave, and would become noticeably angered and frustrated when Plaintiff would notify and/or remind him of his upcoming need for leave due to scheduled doctor's appointments, by throwing his hands in the air and making comments like "not again!"
- 21. In or about early July 2017, as Plaintiff had previously noticed to Defendant's management and Human Resources, Plaintiff missed time from work to due to his disabilities and to attend medical testing pertaining to the same.
- 22. After returning from his aforementioned leave pertaining to his disabilities, Plaintiff was abruptly informed that he was terminated for accumulating too many points under Defendant's attendance policy.

4

- 23. A number, if not all, of the points used against Plaintiff pursuant to Defendant's attendance policy at the time of his termination, however, were assessed against Plaintiff for his use of medical leave for his disabilities, for which he had been approved for leave under the FMLA.
- 24. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated as a result of his actual/perceived/record of disabilities, in retaliation for requesting and utilizing accommodations (including FMLA-qualifying medical leave), and/or as a direct result of Defendant's failure to accommodate Plaintiff's disabilities.
- 25. Plaintiff further believes and therefore avers that he was terminated in violation of the ADA and FMLA.

First Cause of Action

<u>Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as Amended ("ADAAA")</u> ([1] Actual/Perceived/Record of Disability Discrimination; [2] Retaliation; [3] Failure to Accommodate)

- 26. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 27. Plaintiff suffers from health conditions which qualify as disabilities under the ADAAA.
- 28. Defendant is an "employer" under the ADAAA, as it has at least fifteen (15) employees.
- 29. Plaintiff notified Defendant of his heath conditions and need for leave, and was subjected to hostility and animosity pertaining to the same by Defendant's management.
- 30. Plaintiff was also terminated as a result of his actual/perceived/record of disabilities, in retaliation for requesting and utilizing accommodations (including FMLA-

5

qualifying medical leave), and/or as a direct result of Defendant's failure to accommodate Plaintiff's disabilities.

31. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADAAA.

Second Cause of Action <u>Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")</u> (Interference and Retaliation)

- 32. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 33. Plaintiff was an eligible employee under the definitional terms of the FMLA, 29 U.S.C. § 2611(a)(i)(ii).
- 34. Plaintiff requested leave from Defendant, his employer, with whom he had been employed for at least twelve months pursuant to the requirements of 29 U.S.C.A § 2611(2)(i).
- 35. Plaintiff had at least 1,250 hours of service with the Defendant during his last full year of employment.
- 36. Defendant is engaged in an industry affecting commerce and employs fifty (50) or more employees for each working day during each of the twenty (20) or more calendar work weeks in the current or proceeding calendar year, pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A § 2611(4)(A)(i).
- 37. Plaintiff was entitled to receive leave pursuant to 29 U.S.C.A § 2612 (a)(1) for a total of twelve (12) work weeks of leave on a block or intermittent basis.
- 38. Defendant committed interference and retaliation violations of the FMLA by: (1) terminating Plaintiff for requesting and/or exercising his FMLA rights and/or for taking FMLA-qualifying leave; (2) by considering Plaintiff's FMLA leave needs in making the decision to terminate him; and/or (3) reprimanding and terminating Plaintiff to prevent and/or discourage him from taking further FMLA-qualifying leave in the future.

39. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the FMLA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice or custom of discriminating/retaliating against employees and is to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such unlawful acts and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered retaliation/discrimination at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded liquidated and/or punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law(s) alleged asserted herein, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper and appropriate including for emotional distress;
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law; and

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esq. 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square Building 2, Ste. 128 Bensalem, PA 19020

(215) 639-0801

Dated: April 25, 2018

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

<u> Telephone</u>	FAX Num	ıber	:	E-Mail Address	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-49	970	aka	arpf@karpf-law.com	'n
Date	Attorney-e	at-law		Attorney for	
4/25/2018				ntiff	
f) Standard Management -	Cases that do no	ot fall into	any one of the	other tracks.	(X)
 Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.) 	complex and that ide of this form	at need spe for a detail	ecial or intense led explanation	management by of special	()
 (d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos. 	ing claims for pe	ersonal inju	iry or property	damage from	\sim
c) Arbitration – Cases requi				٠.	3.2. ()
 Social Security - Cases r and Human Services den 	ying plaintiff So	icial Secur	ity Benefits.	•	· ()
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b				•	()
SELECT ONE OF THE FO					
In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Castiling the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the elesignation, that defendant she plaintiff and all other parts which that defendant believer.	se Management ' e a copy on all de vent that a defent hall, with its firs ties, a Case Man eves the case sho	Track Desifendants. (ndant does t appearan nagement T	ignation Form (See § 1:03 of the not agree with a continuous cont	in all civil cases at the plan set forth on the plaintiff regarded the clerk of court and ion Form specifying	he time of he reverse rding said if serve on
Reeb Millwork Corp., d/b/a	Reeb Millwork	:		NO.	•
v.			•		•
Willie Beard	III · '.	:		CIVILIZATIOI	•

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

The second secon

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The second secon
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	o he used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff. 1516 Rudolph Drive, Bethlehem, PA 18018	
Address of Defendant: 1000 Maloney Circle, Bethlehem, PA 18018	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business	,
(Use Reverse Side Po)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	n and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Clv.P. 7.1)	(a)) Yos D NoM
Does this case involve multidistrict fidgation possibilities?	Yesu No.
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Data Tamahastada
Case Number: Judge	. Date 1 climitates.
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	•
1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes No No No Very present the part was very present the property terminated
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same dansaction as a pro- action in this court?	a and learning or Assess and heart somewhere
t .	Yes□ No□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	or numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Ye₃□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	ghts case filed by the same individual?
4. IB title days a december of contract of the	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place V in one category only)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
A. Federal Question Cases:	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
1. D Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	
2. D FELA	2. D Airplane Personal Injury
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. M Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability
8. D Habeas Corpus	8. D Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Securities Act(a) Cases	9. a All other Diversity Cases
10. Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. D All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CER (Check Appropriate	
Ari R Karrof counsel of record do hereby con	rtify:
M Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(a)(2), that to the best of my knowledge at \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	nd belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action care exceed the sum of
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 4/25/2018	<u>ARK2484</u>
Attorney-nt-Law NOTE: A trial de nove will be a trial by jury only if t	Attorney I.D.# 91538 there has been compliance with P.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not religiod to my case now pending except as noted above.	or within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 4/25/2018	ARK2484
Attomey-at-Law	Attorney 1.D.# 91538
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	- · · · -

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
BEARD III, WILLIE				REEB MILLWORK CORP., d/b/a REEB MILLWORK					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lehigh (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Northampton (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)					
,				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT			THE LOCATION OF		
• •	Address, and Telephone Number		0	Attorneys (If Known)					
Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, Suite 128, Bensalem, PA									
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in Or and One Box for		
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF 1 1	Incorporated or Pr	rincipal Place		DEF 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citize	n of Another State	2 2			5	5
				n or Subject of a eign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		ly)					of Suit Code Desc		
CONTRACT				RDBTTTRVPSSAMIY		NKRUPICY	4 OTHERS		3
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	- 1	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 3 Other	423 With	eal 28 USC 158 idrawal USC 157	0 375 False Clai ' 376 Qui Tam (3 3729(a))		
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/	15 02	Oliki			0 400 State Reap	portionme	nt
150 Recovery of Overpayment	' 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				REPARTOMENTS	0 410 Antitrust	D 11	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander ' 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			0 820 Copy 0 830 Pate:		430 Banks and450 Commerce		
157 Medicate Act	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal				nt - Abbreviated	460 Deportation		
Student Loans	' 340 Marine	Injury Product			New	Drug Application	0 470 Racketeer		
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability		THE NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	1 840 Trad		Corrupt Or		1S
 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 	Liability ' 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		LABOR Fair Labor Standards	' 861 HIA (SECURITY	 480 Consumer 490 Cable/Sat 		
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	I 371 Truth in Lending	0 /10	Act		k Lung (923)	0 850 Securities/		ties/
1 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	0 720	Labor/Management		C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange		
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	0 864 SSII		B 890 Other State		ons
196 Franchise	Injury	385 Property Damage		0 Railway Labor Act	' 865 RSI (4	105(g))	0 891 Agricultur		
	362 Personal Injury -	Product Liability	751	Family and Medical			893 Environme 895 Freedom o		
REAL PROPERTY	Medical Malpractice	SPRESONEREZEEEEON	JC™ □ 700	Leave Act Other Labor Litigation	FEDED	ALTAX SUITS		1 IIIIOIIIIAI	11011
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:		Employee Retirement		s (U.S. Plaintiff	896 Arbitration	1	
220 Foreclosure	0 441 Voting	1 463 Alien Detainee	L (7)	Income Security Act		Defendant)	D 899 Administra		edure
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate		21107117 24441117 1441		—Third Party	Act/Review	v or Appe	al of
240 Torts to Land	0 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 t	JSC 7609	Agency De		
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	D 530 General	*************				0 950 Constitution		
290 All Other Real Property	3 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	0 535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION			State Statu	ies	
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	Other: 5 540 Mandamus & Other	D 462	Naturalization Application Other Immigration	1				
	Other	0 550 Civil Rights	40.	Actions	1				
	0 448 Education	D 555 Prison Condition		114(151)	1				
		0 560 Civil Detainee -			1				
		Conditions of			1				
		Confinement		<u> </u>					
${f V.}$ ${f ORIGIN}$ (Place an "X" is	1 One Box Only)								
	moved from 3 te Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop		erred from er District	 6 Multidis Litigation Transfer 	on - L	Aultidistr Litigation firect File) -
	LADA (42USC121	tute under which you ar 01); FMLA (29US	e filing (Da	not cite jurisdictional state	•		-		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca								
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	'	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	ly if demanded in co D: XYes	omplaint 'No	:
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKI	ET NUMBER _			
DATE 4/25/2018		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEYO	FRECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						-			
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JU	UDGE		

Save As...

Print

Reset